UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PHILLIP SIBBLIES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ANDREW SAUL,

Defendant.

1:20-cv-2921-MKV

ORDER

MARY KAY VYSKOCIL, United States District Judge:

In a separate Order, this case has been referred to one of the Court's Magistrate Judges for a Report and Recommendation, which will then be sent to this Court for review and to address any objections to the Report. Alternatively, the parties may voluntarily consent to have the Magistrate Judge render a final decision in this action in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73. Any appeal from a Magistrate Judge's decision following consent is directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in the same way that an appeal from a District Judge's decision would be taken.

Both parties – the Plaintiff and the Commissioner of Social Security – must consent in order for the Magistrate Judge to decide the case. To determine whether the parties wish to voluntarily consent to proceeding before Judge Wang, counsel for the Commissioner of Social Security is directed to send to counsel for Plaintiff on or before April 20, 2020 a copy of the attached consent form bearing either (1) a signature indicating consent to proceeding before the Magistrate Judge, or (2) a notation that the defendant does not consent.

On or before April 27, 2020, Plaintiff's counsel is directed to submit a letter via ECF informing the Court whether all parties have consented to proceeding before the Magistrate Judge. If any party has not consented, the letter shall not state which of the parties have not

consented – only that there has not been consent by all parties. If both parties have consented,

counsel shall file on ECF the signed consent forms.

This Order is not intended to interfere with the parties' right to have final disposition of

this case by a United States District Judge. The parties are free to withhold their consent without

adverse substantive consequences. If any party withholds consent, the identity of the parties

consenting or withholding consent shall not be communicated to any Magistrate Judge or District

Judge to whom the case has been assigned.

SO ORDERED.

Date: April 13, 2020

New York, NY

MARY KAY VYSKOCIL

United States District Judge

2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Sou	thern District of New York	
Plaintiff V. Defendant)) Civil Action No.))	
Notice of a magistrate judge's availabilit	ENCE OF A CIVIL ACTION TO A MAGIS y. A United States magistrate judge of this cour	t is available to conduct all
proceedings in this civil action (including a jury or then be appealed directly to the United States cour exercise this authority only if all parties voluntar	t of appeals like any other judgment of this cour	
You may consent to have your case referresubstantive consequences. The name of any party be involved with your case.	ed to a magistrate judge, or you may withhold yo withholding consent will not be revealed to any	
Consent to a magistrate judge's authorit conduct all proceedings in this case including tri	ty. The following parties consent to have a Unital, the entry of final judgment, and all post-tria	
Parties' printed names	Signatures of parties or attorneys	Dates
	Reference Order	
IT IS ORDERED: This case is referred order the entry of a final judgment in accordance	d to a United States magistrate judge to conduct with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.	
Date:	District Judge's sig	nature
	Printed name and	title

Note: Return this form to the clerk of court only if you are consenting to the exercise of jurisdiction by a United States magistrate judge. Do not return this form to a judge.

Print Save As... Reset